

LOCATION	BLOCK SIZE (SUITABILITY)	LAND USE ZONE & CUSTODIANSHIP	COMPLEMENTARY USES – PROXIMITY TO OTHER FACILITIES	NEIGHBOURHOOD ACCESS	PARKING OPPORTUNITIES	SETBACK – DISTANCE TO ROADSIDE	EXISTING AMENITY	SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE (X = CONSTRAINT)	LANDSCAPE AND CLIMATE ELEMENTS (X = CONSTRAINT)	PASSIVE SURVEILLANCE	LANDFORM – SLOPE OF THE LAND	OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS	MEETS CRITERIA
CHISHOLM DEAMER	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not co-located with other facilities, however it is very accessible by public transport and is integrated into the local path network. It appears to be an underutilised space with areas of erosion. Parking is very limited. Increased parking may cause sight line and traffic safety issues. Borders directly with residences on two sides. A vegetation buffer would need to be considered. 	✗
CHISHOLM HEAGNEY	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Size limited. Alternative sites in Chisholm suitable. 	✗
CHISHOLM PROCTOR	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Size limited and existing trees constrain available space. Alternative sites in Chisholm suitable. 	✗
CHISHOLM ALSTON	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Co-located with dryland grass playing field. Co-located with primary school. Existing car park. Sufficient space. 	✓