

#### **SPACE**LAB STUDIO PTY LTD

# TREE ASSESSMENT AND REPORT Suburban Land Agency Format

Prepared for EPSDD Date: 30 October 2018 Revision: A Prepared by: AJ

AUSTRALIAN STANDARD
Quality Systems –AS/NZS ISO 9001:2008
Occupational Health and Safety System – AS4801:2001
Environmental Management System – AS/NZS ISO 14001:2004

Unit 5/97 Northbourne Avenue Turner ACT 2612 P: (02) 6262 6363

## **Table of Contents**

1.	OVERVIEW	2
	1.1 Introduction	2
	1.2 Site Location	2
2.	ASSESSMENT INVENTORY	2
3.	TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	3
	GENERAL TREE DATA	3
	ARBORICULTURAL CRITERIA DEFINITIONS	6
	TREE ASSESSMENT - ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT RATING DEFINITIONS	
	URBAN AMENITY CRITERIA DEFINITIONS	10
	TREE ASSESSMENT - CONTRIBUTION TO URBAN AMENITY RATING DEFINITIONS _	_11
	LANDSCAPE TREE GROUPS DEFINITIONS (N/A)	13
	TREE PROTECTION / MANAGEMENT FOR TREES TO BE RETAINED	_14
4.	RECOMMENDATIONS	_ _ 15
5.	NOTES / DISCLAIMER	16

APPENDIX A - TREE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEETS

APPENDIX B - TREE ASSESSMENT PLANS


APPENDIX C - ASSESSMENT DATA SPREADSHEET

#### 1. OVERVIEW

#### 1.1 Introduction

This tree assessment report has been prepared in response to a brief issued by EPSDD (Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate). The aim of this report is to provide detailed information on the location and status of trees within the site referred to as Dickson Section 72. The information will aid in the development of the site by identifying and assessing trees that are Protected, and or covered by the Tree Protection Act 2005. This report has been prepared in accordance with the mandatory requirements of the ACTs Tree Protection (Guidelines for Tree Management Plans) Determination 2010.

#### 1.2 Site Location



#### 2. ASSESSMENT INVENTORY

The trees identified in this report have been assessed to the mandatory requirements of Tree Survey as described in ACTs Tree Protection (*Guidelines for Tree Management Plans*) Determination 2010.

Descriptions of the assessment criteria is provided below; the Results of the assessment are provided in the appendices of this report.

#### 3. TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The following information for each assessed tree is presented in this report.

#### **Tree Number/Group:**

A unique reference number is assigned to each tree or group of trees and described in terms of GIS co-ordinates. Each tree/group of trees is numbered and referenced to the Plan and Report as applicable.

#### **Regulated Tree:**

Is the Tree considered to be a Regulated tree in accordance with the *ACT Tree Protection Act, 2005.* Or if on unleased land does size the size of the tree mean it has the potential to be regulated.

**Y** – Yes

N - No

#### **Registered Tree:**

Is the Tree listed on the Provisional Tree Register or the Tree Register? Reference check to be undertaken by visiting

https://www.tccs.act.gov.au/city-living/trees/act tree register/registered trees

If registered, notate the unique reference number allocated by the Act Territory Government.

#### **GENERAL TREE DATA**

Date field assessment undertaken:

24-27 September 2018 and 8 October 2018

Assessor:

Steve Thomas – B.M.S. Forestry

#### **Species**

Identification of trees on site are as follows:

Botanical Name	Botanical Name
Acacia sp.	Wattle species
Acacia baileyana	Cootamundra wattle
Betula pendula	Silver birch
Callitris glaucophylla	White cypress pine
Calocedrus decurrens	Californian incense cedar
Casuarina cunninghamiana	River oak
Celtis australis	Southern nettle tree
Crataegus laevigata	Hawthorn
Cupressus cv.	Cypress pine
Deciduous sp.	
Eucalyptus bicostata	Blue gum
Eucalyptus camaldulensis	River red gum
Eucalyptus cinerea	Argyle apple

Eucalyptus leucoxylon	South Australian blue gum
Eucalyptus maidenii	Maiden's gum
Eucalyptus mannifera	White brittle gum
Eucalyptus melliodora	Yellow box
Eucalyptus nicholii	White-leaved peppermint
Eucalyptus sideroxylon	Red ironbark
Eucalyptus viminalis	Ribbon gum
Fraxinus sp.	Ash
Fraxinus excelsior	European ash
Fraxinus oxycarpa	English ash
Gleditsia triacanthos	Honey locust
Ligustrum lucidum	Glossy tree privet
Pinus sp.	Pine
Pinus canariensis	Canary Island pine
Pinus radiata	Radiata pine
Platanus acerifolia	London plane
Platanus orientalis	Oriental plane
Populus alba	White poplar
Populus deltoides	Cottonwood
Populus nigra 'Italica'	Lombardy poplar
Prunus sp.	Cherry/plum
Prunus cerasifera	Cherry plum
Pyrus ussuriensis	Manchurian pear
Quercus bicolor	Swamp white oak
Quercus canariensis	Algerian oak
Quercus robur	English oak
Quercus palustris	Pin oak
Quercus suber	Cork oak
Ulmus parvifolia	Chinese elm
Zelkova serrata	Keyaki

#### Height

Height in metres

#### **Canopy Spread**

Canopy diameter in metres shown as the maximum crown width of the tree or group of trees

#### **Trunk Circumference**

- For Single Trunks circumference in millimetres, measured 1 metre above ground level
- For multiple trunks the cumulative total of each trunk in millimetres at 1 metre above ground level

#### **Number of Trunks**

For single trees: number of trunks at 1 metre above ground level; For groups of trees: general average number of trunks.

#### Recommendation

Recommendation is based on the professional judgement by the **Arborist and/or Landscape Architect and/or Landscape Consultant** following evaluation of the overall components of the full assessment.

**Retain/Manage** Retain and manage the tree

Or

**Remove** Remove the tree

#### ARBORICULTURAL CRITERIA DEFINITIONS

Each tree has been assessed with the following arboricultural criteria. The definitions of values presented on the assessment sheets is provided below:

#### **Canopy Density:**

Relative density of canopy foliage

- 3 Full canopy (80% to 100%)
- 2 Part canopy (20% to 80%)
- **1** Sparse canopy (<20%)

#### **Canopy Dead Wood:**

Amount of dead wood in the canopy as a % of the canopy:

- 3 0% to 20% dead wood
- 2 20% to 60% dead wood
- 1 60% to 100% dead wood

#### **Insect Attack:**

Evidence of insect attack:

- **3** None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

#### Disease:

Evidence of disease present:

- **3** None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

#### **Epicormic Growth:**

Presence of epicormic growth:

- **3** None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

#### Mistletoe:

Presence of mistletoe in canopy:

- **3** None
- 2 Up to 5 clumps (moderate)
- 1 More than 5 clumps

#### Form:

Canopy balance and distribution - relative to the normal habit of the tree species:

- 4 Typical of species
- 3 Stunted
- 2 Unbalanced/lopsided canopy
- 1 Trunk lean approximately 30 or more off vertical

#### Age:

Age category:

- 4 Juvenile
- 3 Semi- Mature Adolescent
- 2 Mature
- 1 Over-Mature Senescent limited life expectancy

#### **Tolerance to Disturbance:**

Tolerance to disturbance within the tree protection zone based on species characteristics and site conditions:

- 3 High, tree species generally tolerant of some site disturbance,
- 2 Medium, tree species that may tolerate limited site disturbance,
- 1 Low, tree species generally highly sensitive to site disturbance.

#### **Risk Potential:**

Risk potential/structural integrity associated with trunk and major branches. Comment on the risk in the context of future land use if known and/or recommend incompatible land uses.

#### 3 - Low risk potential

- good structural integrity with low risk potential
- may require minimal or no short term horticultural maintenance

#### 2 - Medium risk potential

- poor branch unions, narrow angle branch forks or multiple leaders etc
- risk can be mitigated and managed by tree surgery and horticulture maintenance techniques

#### 1 - Significant risk potential

- decay within trunk or major branches and/or
- prevalence of hollows or decay and/or
- depressed sections of the trunk indicative of underlying health issue and/or
- storm damage or physical and/or
- risk cannot be mitigated by extensive tree surgery or horticultural techniques

#### **Health/Condition:**

Overall health and condition of the tree based on arboricultural assessment of crown and trunk of the tree:

4: Excellent 2: Fair 3: Good 1: Poor

## TREE ASSESSMENT - ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT RATING DEFINITIONS

Each assessed tree has been provided with an aboricultural quality rating. The Arborcultural Assessment is the culmination of the assessment of Arborcultural Criteria best fitting the following statements (see also Arborcultural Criteria).

#### E Exceptional meets most or all of the following

- Mature specimen
- Well balanced grand and/or outstanding appearance and stature
- Little or no evidence of:
  - o insect or parasitic attack and/or disease
  - o epicormic growth and/or
  - dead wood and/or
  - o physical damage

#### H High meets one of the following

- Mature Tree specimen
  - Tree structure, appearance form and balance is considered typical
  - Little no evidence of insect/parasite attack, epicormic growth and/or dead wood
- Juvenile or adolescent specimen (or group of trees or regeneration) that does not meet the prescribed requirements of the Tree Protection Act which exhibits excellent form and health with potential to:
  - o become a Regulated Tree; and
  - o contribute positively to the landscape character / urban amenity of the place in the future

# M <u>Medium</u> Mature Tree specimen exhibiting some or all of the following characteristics:

- Sparse or pale coloured foliage
- Epicormic growth and/or dead wood throughout the crown
- Evidence of some branch fall
- Less than desirable form

#### **Or** (meets this criteria)

- Juvenile or adolescent specimen (or group of trees or regeneration) that
  does not meet the prescribed requirements of the Tree Protection Act. This
  assessment may include tree(s) which exhibit some negative characteristics
  which, with cost effective maintenance and/or management these trees
  have the potential to become:
  - o a High Quality regulated tree; and
  - contribute positively to landscape character and / or urban amenity of the place in the future

#### P <u>Poor</u> Quality or Deteriorating Tree meets the following statement.

Tree assessed as:

- limited life expectancy (less than 5-10 years) and/or
- limited habitat value; and/or
- significant risk potential with regard to:
  - o poor form, health and condition, significant die back or sparse canopy; and/or
  - physical damage, disease, decay, susceptible to large limb drop, included bark forks etc

#### **URBAN AMENITY CRITERIA DEFINITIONS**

Each tree has been assessed with the following Urban Amenity criteria. The definitions of values presented on the assessment sheets is provided below:

#### **Contribution to Existing Landscape Character:**

What level of contribution does the tree make to the existing landscape setting?

- 3 Significant
- 2 Moderate
- 1 None

#### **Potential Contribution to Future Landscape Character:**

If retained, what level of contribution does the tree(s) potentially have for future landscape settings?

- 3 Significant could provide significant landscape character
- 2 Moderate
- 1 None

#### Visual / Scenic:

Visual prominence and scenic quality of the tree when viewed from within and beyond the site based on its position in the landscape and its form, condition, spatial arrangement, health and size:

- 3 High Visually prominent landform and exposed to significant public viewing (either now and/or in the future)
- 2 Medium visually prominent location or existing exposure to public view
- 1 Low not exposed to existing public, scenic value important to future local urban development

#### **Unique species:**

Based on the rarity or commonness of the species in the region or growing at the extent or outside of its normal range and the abundance of the species within its geographic range:

- 2 Rare
- 1 Common

#### **Habitat Quality:**

Based on the potential to retain or attract native fauna:

- 3 Provides significant habitat to native birds or arboreal animals either due to its abundance or ecological diversity or as a result of limited availability
- 2 Ability to retain or attract native wildlife including invertebrates
- 1 No habitat opportunity for native fauna or known to harbour exotic pests

#### **Habitat Value:**

Habitat value provided by tree e.g. considering nesting hollows, shelter, seed pods, nectar, roosts etc

High Value

- 4 Food source or nesting hollows for endangered species specialised
- 3 Locally occurring habitat- non-specialised

Limited Value - Low

- 2 No identifiable habitat shelter only
- 1 Potential for harbouring pest species

#### **Cultural Value:**

Does the tree have cultural/heritage value? If so is it documented or how is it known?

2 - High - Yes - describe (anecdotal/referenced)

1 - Low - None known

#### **Social Value:**

Does the tree possess social context e.g. is there community connection to its planting or location? And if so is it documented / how is it known

2 – High - Yes – describe (anecdotal/referenced)

1 - Low - None known

#### Scientific Value:

Does the tree possess scientific interest? e.g. genetic, stunted growth, curious habit, habitat, climatic range. If so how is it documented, or how is it known.

2 – High - Yes – describe (reference)

1 - Low - None known

#### **Remnant Species:**

Is the tree a remnant species?

2 - Yes therefore contributes to natural biodiversity (Highly Valued in terms of local ecology and genetics)

1 – No - i.e. planted native species, self-sown exotic, planted exotic

## TREE ASSESSMENT - CONTRIBUTION TO URBAN AMENITY RATING DEFINITIONS

Each assessed tree has been provided with an Urban Amenity rating.

The assessment of Urban Amenity<sup>1</sup> Assessment is the culmination of the Urban Amenity Criteria best fitting the following statements (refer also Urban Amenity Criteria).

#### E Exceptional Urban Amenity

# A tree or well-defined group of trees that meets at least two of the following:

- Significant Visual Prominence or Scenic Quality
- Unique Species i.e. not common place to the Region
- Significant habitat
- Known Cultural /Heritage Value referenced
- Known Social Value referenced
- Scientific Value referenced

(Note: as an example, a tree, may be considered "Exceptional" on the basis of scientific and known social value but be of poor form/condition and represent a significant hazard).

#### H High

A tree or well-defined group of trees which may or may not attain the status of being a Regulated Tree that exhibit the following:

- good form, health and condition without significant defects; and
- where retained and managed is unlikely to present an unreasonable financial impost or public risk

#### M Medium

A tree or well-defined group of trees that is considered to require expenditure with regard to its long-term management to attain a High value and is assessed to be of little (if any) habitat value.

#### L Low

A tree of poor form, structure or health with little, if any, habitat value i.e. the tree is considered in fundamental decline or likely to represent a significant hazard in an urban context

1 Urban amenity is considered the form, texture, arrangement and appearance of landscape elements (in this case trees and stands of vegetation) that contribute positively to the character of a place

# LANDSCAPE TREE GROUPS DEFINITIONS (NOT APPLICABLE THIS ASSESSMENT)

The assessment of landscape trees that are clearly identifiable as dense uniform landscape groups to be assessed as groups for their potential contribution to future urban amenity. The groups are to be considered and assessed on the same bases as individual trees.

- 3 High A clearly identifiable group of trees that, when considered as a whole, meet at least two of the values for Exceptional Urban Amenity.
- 2 Medium A clearly identifiable group of landscape trees that include Regulated trees (under the Tree Protection Act, 2005) and as a group (rather than single specimens) is ranked as possessing High Quality Urban Amenity and High Arborcultural Attributes.
- 1 Low A loosely defined group of trees that may include trees that do not meet the requirements for assessment under the Tree Protection Act, 2005 and may possess potential to contribute to the future urban amenity.

#### TREE PROTECTION / MANAGEMENT FOR TREES TO BE RETAINED

Under the ACT Tree Protection Act (2005) a Protected Tree includes all Regulated Trees and those trees deemed Registered (i.e. a tree that has been included on the ACT Tree Register).

Tree Management Plans are required to accompany the Estate Development Plan for all Protected Trees. Schedule 1 of Notifiable Instrument N12010-586 outlines the guidelines for Tree Management Plans applicable to trees attaining the status of Protected Tree under the Tree Protection Act.

#### TREE MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT

If the subject tree or group of trees are retained the assessor is to consider and agree as appropriate, on ranking the following:

#### **Potential to Reduce Risk:**

Are there arboricultural/horticultural works that can be carried out to reduce potential risks?

- **3 Significant works involving financial investment** requiring commitment to long term remedial specialised techniques
- **2 Moderate works** requiring commitment to regular horticultural/arboricultural treatment
- **1 None** regular on-going management required long-term but no obvious immediate management required

#### Potential to improve amenity value:

Are there arboricultural/horticultural works that can be carried out to improve the potential amenity value of the tree?

- **3 Significant works** commitment to regular ongoing horticultural/arboricultural maintenance required (pruning, shaping, spraying) etc to retain urban amenity
- **2 Moderate works** commitment to immediate (but not onerous) maintenance to enhance amenity
- **1 None** occasional, regular and expected horticultural works may be required not immediate

#### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

The data contained within this report is an update to the tree assessment report for "SECTION 72 AND BLOCK 34 SECTION 26 DICKSON – REVISION A' completed by Indesco in June 2014. Most of the summary of findings within the Indesco report remains relevant to this 2018 update of the survey:

The study area comprises of various blocks in Dickson. All of the trees have been planted as part of the landscaping to the buildings, swimming pool, carparks and stormwater drain, as well as, street trees along the roads. The trees therefore have all been planted at about the same time within the broad groups. There are no old remnant trees.

The main species on the site are Eucalyptus maidenii, E. bicostata, E. mannifera, E. cinerea, Pinus radiata, Quercus suber, Q. robur, Q. canariensis, Prunus cerasifera, Platanus orientalis, P. acerifolia, and Ulmus procera. A fairly standard suite of species for the time and none of unusual significance in urban areas.

A number of smaller trees have been planted as part of the landscape of the various buildings, many are deciduous and have not been identified as they have no foliage or other definitive indicators at the time of the assessment. None of these trees meet the criteria for a regulated tree. The linear planting of Pyrus ussuriensis associated with the church site are young well grown trees which form a significant landscape feature as does the adjacent Cupressus X laylandii hedge around the hotel site.

The strong linear planting along the edge of the stormwater drain is made up of P. radiata, E. maidenii and Q. robur. Overall the planting is quite good but the P. radiata are clearly past their best. The inner row is particularly poor. The Q. robur are somewhat stunted and the E. maidenii rather spindly. All of these negative factors are due to the fact that the trees should have been thinned by at least 50% some time ago. If the group is to be retained consideration should be given to some remedial work.

The P. cerasifera along the main road are relatively rather poor although they have been given a Medium (M) rating as they are surviving in a difficult situation. Some need to be replaced if the group is to be retained.

The E. cinerea behind the hedge are in a less than satisfactory state as they have competition for moisture and nutrient.

The best trees in the site are to be found within the swimming pool grounds. This is due to the soil profile, regular watering and no compaction from the time they were planted as well as being well spaced. Many of these trees have been given a High (H) rating and some an Exceptional (E) rating.

Referring to Appendix A – Tree Assessment Data Sheets and Appendix C -Assessment Data Spreadsheet, trees with "RETAIN" in the "Retain or Remove" data fields are specimens of relative health and structural integrity; if protected and retained, these trees would offer urban amenity to the future development of site.

#### 5. NOTES / DISCLAIMER

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or copy) is referenced in, and directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

Information contained in this report covers only those trees, which were examined, and reflects the condition of those trees at the time of inspection on 24-27 September and 8 October 2018.

The inspection was limited to visual examination, without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee or expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the near future.

The findings of this report may not necessarily agree with reports prepared by others, including the Government Conservator of Trees.

#### **Appendix A Tree Assessment Data Sheets**


# APPENDIX A TREE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEETS



1.1	7	F 422F	:001V		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	1
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			TALITAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Quercus robur						
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211953.619			N: 6072	38.9	46	
Height (M)	20		Canopy	(M)		16
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 1.8 No of Trunks		S	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Canopy Density	3					
Canopy Dead Wood	3					
Insect Attack	3					
Disease	3					
Epicormic Growth	3					
Mistletoe	3					
Form	4					
Age	2					
Tolerance to Disturbance	2					
Risk Potential	2					
Health / Condition	2					


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V		V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	2
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Έ	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			ALTAIN	
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Quercus robur						
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211948.950			N: 6072	37.3	95	
Height (M)	20		Canopy	(M)		16
Trunk Circum	runk Circum 1.89 No of Trunks		S	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Canopy Density	3					
Canopy Dead Wood	3					
Insect Attack	3					
Disease	3					
Epicormic Growth	3					
Mistletoe	3					
Form	4					
Age	2					
Tolerance to Disturbance	2					
Risk Potential	2					
Health / Condition	2					


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2					
Visual/ Scenic	3					
Unique Species	1					
Habitat Quality	1					
Habitat Value	2					
Cultural Value	1					
Social Value	1					
Scientific Value	1					
Remnant Species	1					
Landscape Tree Group						



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	ΙĿΙ	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	3
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΝT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	T	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	<b>Opecies</b> Quercus robur					
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211944.163			N: 6072	235.8	300	
Height (M)	15		Canopy	(M)	)	8
Trunk Circum	runk Circum 1.26 No of Trunks		(S	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Canopy Density	3					
Canopy Dead Wood	3					
Insect Attack	3					
Disease	3					
Epicormic Growth	3					
Mistletoe	3					
Form	4					
Age	2					
Tolerance to Disturbance	2					
Risk Potential	2					
Health / Condition	2					

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2					
Visual/ Scenic	3					
Unique Species	1					
Habitat Quality	1					
Habitat Value	2					
Cultural Value	1					
Social Value	1					
Scientific Value	1					
Remnant Species	1					
Landscape Tree Group						



	<u> </u>	E 433E	:221A	<u>וםו</u>	1	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	)	4
		REG	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	Γ	М
ı	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Γ	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	_		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- KETAIN		
GENERAL TRI	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211939.603			N: 6072	34.08	34	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)		15
Trunk Circum	1.5	58	8 No of Trunks		;	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				2		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					l I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	5
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect	andscape SPACELAR				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 211935.064 N: 607232.175				5	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	16
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	7 [	E HOOL	<u> </u>	$ \Box $	I
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	6
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN	
GENERAL TRI	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 211930.299			N: 6072	30.45	6
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)	12
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲Ŀ	F 422F	:2217	I E I	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	7
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Έ	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	Ι ΥΡΔΟΕΙ ΔΕ			KLIAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211925.628	E: 211925.628 N: 607228.471					
Height (M)	9		Canopy	(M)		9
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11		<u> </u>	<u>:2217</u>	יוםו	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	8
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	_		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, INCION		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	ssessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211921.038			N: 6072	26.6	11	
Height (M)	9		Canopy	(M)		9
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	5	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	C 4220	:221 <u>v</u>		<u> </u>	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	9
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	ı	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
<b>Common Name</b>		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211911.065			N: 6072	22.5	94	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	)	12
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V		A.	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	10
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	ΙΝΡΑΓΕΙΔΗ			ILLIAIIV		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211906.151			N: 6072	20.7	21	
Height (M)	19		Canopy	(M)		18
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	11
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 211901.130	E: 211901.130 N: 607218.858				
Height (M)	20		Canopy	(M)	16
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IKEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	12
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Dat	ate 9/27/2018				
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 211896.230			N: 6072	16.875	5
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	<u> </u>	C 422C	<u>.331v</u>	<u> </u>	1 /	l	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	JΡ	13	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N	
		REG	SISTERED	TR	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAL	ASSESS	ME	NT	Р	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	ME	NT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	DATA					
Assessment Dat	ment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Prunus cerasifera					
Common Name	51.51.7						
LOCATION							
E: 211891.785			N: 6072	14.	971		
Height (M)	5		Canopy	(M	)	5	
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 0.94 No of Trunks		ks	3			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> </u>	E 433E	.331V	<u>  [ ] </u>	<u> </u>		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	IP	14	
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N	
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	Έ	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAL	ASSESSI	MEN	IT	Р	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESSI	MEN	IT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	RETAIN				
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Prunus cerasifera							
Common Name Cherry plum							
LOCATION							
E: 211886.937			N: 6072	13.3	78		
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)		6		
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		S	3		
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					l	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	15	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
А	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	Р	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	T	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA					
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	ent Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Prunus cerasifera						
Common Name Cherry plum							
LOCATION							
E: 211882.026			N: 6072	211.2	251		
Height (M)	6	Canopy (M)		6			
Trunk Circum	Circum 1.26 No of Trunks		3				
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IKEE ASSESSIVIEN					V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	16
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	T	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Prunus cerasif			asifera			
Common Name Cherry pl		Cherry plur	n			
LOCATION						
E: 211877.151 N: 607209.471						
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M)			6
Trunk Circum	1.2	6 No of Trunks		s	3	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1			
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI							
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	UP	17	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	ME	NT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	ME	NT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	М	RETAIN AND IANAGE / REMOVE		
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIVIOVE			
GENERAL TRE	EC	DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Crataegus laevigata					
Common Name Hawth							
LOCATION							
E: 211872.258 N: 607207.391							
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)		5		
Trunk Circum	0.6	0.63 No of Tru		run	ks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I VEE Y22E22IVIEIVI						
SUMMARY						
	TREE NUMBER/GROUP					18
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSMENT						
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	ME	NT	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	ME	NT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	M	RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEIVIOVE		
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	nt Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Crataegus laevigata					
Common Name	Hawthorn					
LOCATION						
E: 211867.644 N: 607205.549						
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)		8	
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		ks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1			
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	<u> </u>	C 4220	<u>:2217</u>		<i>N</i>	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	19
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Έ	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	T	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa				
Common Name	ame English ash					
LOCATION						
E: 211840.628			N: 6071	95.1	11	
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)		12
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	F 422F	:221V	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	20
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		METAIN	
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name	emmon Name English ash				
LOCATION					
E: 211835.790			N: 6071	.93.270	
Height (M)	9		Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO I	MPI	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1	
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



				•			
		I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I SUMMARY					
	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	21			
	REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ			
	REC	SISTERED	TREE	N			
MEI	NT						
RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M			
JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M			
ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE			
	ST			RETAIN			
	SPACELAB						
E C	ATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
	Fraxinus oxycarpa						
Common Name English ash							
E: 211830.874 N: 607191.241				_			
13		Canopy	(M)	16			
1.8	39	No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
		POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1				
	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1				
	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1				
	ARBO JRB ON	REC REC MENT ARBORCULTURAL JRBAN AMENITY ON NAM ST SPACELAB EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 Fraxinus ox English ash  13 1.89	REGULATED REGISTERED MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESS JRBAN AMENITY ASSESS ON NAME  ST  SPACELAB  EE DATA  Ee 9/27/2018 Fraxinus oxycarpa English ash  N: 6071  13 Canopy  1.89 No of T	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT  ON NAME REMANA  ST  SPACELAB  EE DATA  SE 9/27/2018  Fraxinus oxycarpa  English ash  N: 607191.241  13 Canopy (M)  1.89 No of Trunks			

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



<u> </u>	<u> ۲۲</u>	E A55E	:221V	<u>IEI</u>	<u> </u>					
SUMMARY	SUMMARY									
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	22				
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ				
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N				
TREE ASSESS	ΜE	NT								
A	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙΤ	M				
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M				
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		'AIN AND GE / REMOVE				
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN				
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		(E17 (IIV						
GENERAL TRI	GENERAL TREE DATA									
Assessment Date 9/27/2018										
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa								
Common Name English ash										
LOCATION										
E: 211826.122 N: 607189.314										
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)		8				
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		(S	1				
TREE MANAGEMENT										
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1										
NOTES										

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	23
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- INLIAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name	e English ash				
LOCATION					
E: 211820.837			N: 6071	.87.253	
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	18
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



ΙΓ		E A55E	:2211		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JΡ	24
		REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB		NE I7 (IIV	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name	mon Name London plane					
LOCATION						
E: 211825.255			N: 6072	215.5	547	
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)	)	16
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> </u>	L AJJL	<u>.                                    </u>		I A	<u> </u>
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	25
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEI	TV	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEI	TV	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Eucalyptus bicostata						
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211837.524			N: 6072	25.	471	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M	)	16
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 3.14 No of Trunks			ks	1	
TREE MANAG	TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



INLL ASSESSIVILIVI							
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	26	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	TREE ASSESSMENT						
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	1	Р	
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN			
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Eucalyptus bicostata							
Common Name Blue gum							
LOCATION							
E: 211844.674			N: 6072	28.1	150		
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	)	16	
Trunk Circum	2.5	51	No of T	runk	<b>(S</b>	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> </u>	L AJJL	<u>.                                    </u>		I V	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	27	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ	
		REG	SISTERED	TR	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEI	TV	M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEI	TV	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIAIN			
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Eucalyptus bicostata							
Common Name Blue gum							
LOCATION							
E: 211847.168			N: 6072	25.	182		
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	)	10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runl	ks	1	
TREE MANAG	iΕΝ	IENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7 🗆	E ASSE	:331V		V		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	28	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KETAIN		((E17(11 <b>4</b>	
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Eucalyptus bicostata							
Common Name Blue gum							
LOCATION							
E: 211848.296			N: 6072	29.4	<u> 132</u>		
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		12		
Trunk Circum	1.8	89 No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7 🗆	E ASSE	:331V		V		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	29	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN		((E17(11 <b>4</b>	
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Eucalyptus bicostata							
Common Name Blue gum							
LOCATION							
E: 211850.652			N: 6072	26.5	572		
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M) 12			12	
Trunk Circum	2.5	No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAG	TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	IP	30
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Έ	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RFTAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	ssessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Eucalyptus bicostata					
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211854.200			N: 6072			
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 8		8	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				3		
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	C 4220	:221 <u>v</u>		17	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	UP	31
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	ME	NT	M
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	ME	NT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	М		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB					
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	EC	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus bicostata				
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211855.041	E: 211855.041 N: 607232.170					
Height (M)	14	Canopy (M) 8		8		
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 1.57 No of Trunks		ks	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	7 [	C ASSE	.331V		17	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	JΡ	31B
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	ME	NT	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	ME	NT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	М		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist	Arborist ST		RETAIN		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		ILLIAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Eucalyptus bicostata						
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E:			N:			
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M	)	6
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 0.95 No of Trunks		ks	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						
Suspect unions						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE ASSESSIVIENT						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	32
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Eucalyptus bicostata						
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211857.731			N: 6072	29.2	287	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 14		14	
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:2217		N	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	33
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species						
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211861.451 N: 607230.805						
Height (M)	13		Canopy (M) 6		6	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runl	ks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:2217		1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	34
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Eucalyptus bicostata					
Common Name Blue gum						
LOCATION						
E: 211862.288 N: 607234.983						
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 14		14	
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7 [	C ASSE	:331V		V		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	35	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB		KETAIN		AL I7 AII V		
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species							
Common Name Blue gum							
LOCATION							
E: 211865.060			N: 6072	32.2	246		
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		8		
Trunk Circum	1.2	1.26 No of Trunks		<b>(S</b>	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	E HOOE	:331V		I	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	36	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		ı	REMOVE	
Landscape SPACELAB						
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 211866.924			N: 6072	48.886	j	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	6	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	3				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IREE ASSESSIVIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	•	37
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name	London plane					
LOCATION						
E: 211892.160	E: 211892.160 N: 607264.564					
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)		14
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	3				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IFIN	1		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	38		
		REG	GULATED	TREE	N		
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N		
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р		
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М		
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REM		
Arborist		ST			REMOVE	EMOVE	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB						
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Platanus acerifolia					
Common Name		London plane					
LOCATION							
E: 211895.951 N: 607272.819			9				
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)		7		
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	3			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ADDEDDINIEIN					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	39
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name		Radiata pine			
LOCATION					
E: 211869.779 N: 607242.099				9	
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



1.1	7	<b>E</b> A55E	:331V		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	40
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Έ	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Έ	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211873.766	E: 211873.766 N: 607243.326					
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	l I					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	41	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Y	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211877.575 N: 607244.979			'9			
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	42
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
А	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST	DET/		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	ΙΝΡΑΓΕΙΔΗ		NE I7 III		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name	Radiata pine				
LOCATION					
E: 211869.182 N: 607233.930					)
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	<b>L</b> ASSE	:2211		V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	43
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211872.984	E: 211872.984 N: 607235.180					
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



IKEE ASSESSIVIEIVI						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	•	44
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	1	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Γ	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NETAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	e 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radia	ta			
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211876.234	E: 211876.234 N: 607236.680					
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 8		8	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IEIN	I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	45	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NETAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus cinerea				
Common Name	8/					
LOCATION						
E: 211852.790	E: 211852.790 N: 607250.285					
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M) 13		13	
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I VEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	46
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	P
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	ent Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Acacia baileyana			
Common Name	nmon Name Cootamundra wattle				
LOCATION					
E: 211857.605			N: 6072	249.606	
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M) 5		5
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of Trunks		2
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	E ASSE	:55IV	IEľ	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	47
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211871.062	E: 211871.062 N: 607238.523					
Height (M)	10		Canopy	Canopy (M)		5
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JΡ	48			
	REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	N			
	REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N			
MEI	NT							
RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M			
JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	IT	M			
ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE			
	ST		PETAIN		RETAIN			
	SPACELAB							
E C	DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018								
	Pinus radiata							
Common Name Radiata pine								
LOCATION								
		N: 6072	40.0	16				
7		Canopy (M)		)	3			
0.9	94	No of T	runk	(S	1			
TREE MANAGEMENT								
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3								
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3								
NOTES								
	ON TO SEE OF TO	MENT ARBORCULTURAL JRBAN AMENITY ON NAM ST SPACELAB EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 Pinus radia Radiata pin  7 0.94 GEMENT REDUCE RISK	REGULATED REGISTERED MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESS JRBAN AMENITY ASSESS ON NAME ST SPACELAB EE DATA Re 9/27/2018 Pinus radiata Radiata pine  N: 6072 7 Canopy 0.94 No of T REDUCE RISK	REGULATED TRI REGISTERED TRI MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMEN JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMEN ON NAME MA ST SPACELAB SE DATA SE 9/27/2018 Pinus radiata Radiata pine  N: 607240.0 7 Canopy (M) 0.94 No of Trunk SEMENT REDUCE RISK	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT  ON NAME RET MANAGE  ST  SPACELAB  SE DATA  SE 9/27/2018  Pinus radiata  Radiata pine  N: 607240.016  7 Canopy (M)  0.94 No of Trunks  SEMENT  REDUCE RISK 3			

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFI	1		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	P	49	
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	Γ	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Г	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	_		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name		Radiata pine					
LOCATION							
E: 211878.030	E: 211878.030 N: 607241.380						
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)			10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		,	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE ASSESSIVIENT						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	,	50
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Г	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	Γ	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	-		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 211881.355	E: 211881.355 N: 607242.647			17		
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M) 15		15	
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of Trunks		;	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	I					
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	51	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	М	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			TAL ITALIA	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211891.109			N: 6072	46.680	)	
Height (M)	19		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	2.8	33	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ADDEDDINIEIN						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	52
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211898.727			N: 6072	45.44	12	
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)			10
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				}		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					}	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	•	53
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Γ	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Γ	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	-		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211909.250			N: 6072	49.75	56	
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)			10
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		;	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IEľ	V		
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	54	
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М	
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Τ	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	·   ÇD		SPACELAB			ILE IZIIV	
GENERAL TRE	EC	DATA					
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 211913.098			N: 6072	51.1	26		
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		10		
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
RATING						
Canopy Density	3					
Canopy Dead Wood	3					
Insect Attack	3					
Disease	3					
Epicormic Growth	3					
Mistletoe	3					
Form	4					
Age	2					
Tolerance to Disturbance	2					
Risk Potential	1					
Health / Condition	2					

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					

## TRFF ASSESSMENT



1.1		F 422F	:001V	$ \Box $		
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	Ţ	55
		REC	GULATED	TREE	1	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	ı	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT		М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT		М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			IN AND : / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RE	ETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211912.220			N: 6072	67.01	1	
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M) 5		5	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEIN						
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	56
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	Γ	M
_	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Г	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape		SPACELAB				
Architect		SPACELAB				
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	GENERAL TREE DATA					
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	е	9/27/2018				
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211915.407			N: 6072	268.30	07	
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)			6
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		5	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN							
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	•	57	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	М	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		DET /		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB						
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name		Radiata pine					
LOCATION							
E: 211923.394			N: 6072	255.36	6		
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)		16	
Trunk Circum	2.5	51	No of Trunks			1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					}		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
RATING						
Canopy Density	3					
Canopy Dead Wood	3					
Insect Attack	3					
Disease	3					
Epicormic Growth	3					
Mistletoe	3					
Form	4					
Age	2					
Tolerance to Disturbance	2					
Risk Potential	1					
Health / Condition	2					

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN		
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	58	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	P	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIVIOVE		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Populus deltoides				
Common Name Cottonwood						
LOCATION						
E: 211928.559			N: 6072	57.371		
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M) 4		4	
Trunk Circum	0.6	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	ノレ	F 422F	.3317		I V	I	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	59	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	Р	
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Dat	ssment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Quercus robur						
Common Name English oak							
LOCATION							
E: 211935.024 N: 607252.098							
Height (M)	7	Canopy (M)		6			
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 0.94 No of Trunks		ks	1			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	60
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	KETAIN		XE I7 (IIV	
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211937.284			N: 6072	260.0	60	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE ASSESSIVIENT						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	61
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Е	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	sessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211941.103			N: 6072	261.0	50	
Height (M)	17		Canopy	(M)		16
Trunk Circum	3.1	L4	No of Trunks		s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN	ı
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	62
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEIAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 211945.062			N: 6072	62.261	•
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		14
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	63
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEIAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 211948.880			N: 6072	63.060	)
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)		12
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	64
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect	ΙΝΡΔΙΕΙΔΗ		•			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211960.364	E: 211960.364 N: 607258.962			2		
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M)			5
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				}		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					}	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I VEE HOOESOIVIEIVI						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	65
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Τ	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE						
Assessment Dat	е	-, ,				
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211968.032	E: 211968.032 N: 607265.552					
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)		)	10
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				3		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IKEE ASSESSIVIEIVI						
SUMMARY						
TREE NUMBER/GROUP			66			
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	TREE ASSESSMENT					
Α	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M		
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	:e	9/27/2018				
Species		Pinus radia	ta			
Common Name	Radiata pine		ie			
LOCATION						
E: 211972.276			N: 607265.908		3	
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)		12	
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					A.	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	67
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSMENT						
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT					Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	NAME		RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RFTAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN		(E17(114
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	е	9/27/2018				
Species		Pinus radia	ta			
Common Name		Radiata pin	ie			
LOCATION						
E: 211976.154	N: 607266.157					
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)			12
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3			3			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3			3			
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



RECOMMENDATION  Arborist  Landscape  Architect	REC	GULATED GISTERED L ASSESSI / ASSESSI	MENT RET MANA	68 Y N M M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
ARBO URBA RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect	REC NT DRCULTURAL AN AMENITY NAM ST	GULATED GISTERED L ASSESSI / ASSESSI	MENT RET MANA	Y N M M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
ARBO URBA RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect	RECONT DRCULTURAL AN AMENITY NAM	ASSESSI ASSESSI	MENT MENT RET MANA	M M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
ARBO URBA RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect	NT DRCULTURAL AN AMENITY NAM	L ASSESS / ASSESS	MENT MENT RET MANA	M M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
ARBO URBA RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect	ORCULTURAI AN AMENITY NAM	ASSESS	MENT RET MANA	M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
RECOMMENDATION  Arborist  Landscape  Architect	NAM ST	ASSESS	MENT RET MANA	M TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
RECOMMENDATION  Arborist  Landscape Architect	NAM ST		RET MANA	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist Landscape Architect	ST	E	MANA	GE / REMOVE	
Landscape Architect				RFTAIN	
Architect	SPACELAB			RETAIN	
<b>GENERAL TREE D</b>			11217111		
	GENERAL TREE DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2					
<b>Species</b> Pinus r		ta			
Common Name Radiata		ie			
LOCATION					
E: 211976.510		N: 607269.959			
Height (M) 14		Canopy (M)		4	
Trunk Circum 1.2	ım 1.26		runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK			3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPE	ROVE AMEN	ITY	3		
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					V	I	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	69	
		REC	GULATED	TRI	ΕE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSMENT							
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT				1	M		
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect			SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Dat	e	9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radia	ta				
Common Name		Radiata pine					
LOCATION							
E: 211980.394			N: 607270.042		)42		
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		)	5	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		(S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3			3				
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3			3				
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	70	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	М	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND .GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		TAL ITALIA		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	e Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 211980.068			N: 6072	266.380	)	
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)	14	
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	71
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	M
_	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	T	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect			NETAIN			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211984.410			N: 6072	270.2	180	
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)	)	14
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V	IEIN			
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP		72	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	ı	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT		Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT		L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			L.W. 0 V L		
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 211982.983			N: 6072	74.13	1		
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)		5	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks			1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	1				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	1				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS						
	RATING					
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1					
Visual/ Scenic	1					
Unique Species	1					
Habitat Quality	1					
Habitat Value	1					
Cultural Value	1					
Social Value	1					
Scientific Value	1					
Remnant Species	1					
Landscape Tree Group						



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217		IN	l	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	73	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	TV	M	
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEI	TV	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB		,		
GENERAL TRE	EE C	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 211987.059			N: 6072	74.	395		
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	)	10	
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		ks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					A	l
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	74
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	1	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 211990.886			N: 6072	274.4	112	
Height (M)	17		Canopy	(M)	)	10
Trunk Circum	2.2	No of Trunks		(S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				3		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	<u>٦</u>	E 433E	:221 <u>v</u>		l .	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	75	
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
ı	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		ı	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect	pe SPACELAR					
GENERAL TRI	EE C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 211994.875			N: 6072	74.552	<u> </u>	
Height (M)	15		Canopy	(M)	4	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						
	IVIPI	KOVE AIVIEN	II Y	3		

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	1				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	1				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	1				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	F 422F	:2211	IFIN	I	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	76	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	P	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIVIOVL		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	nmon Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 211995.513			N: 6072	270.756	5	
Height (M)	5		Canopy	(M)	5	
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	1				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	<b>E</b> A55E	:2211		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	77
		REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙΤ	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST	RE7		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211991.459			N: 6072	266.5	67	
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)			14
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	78
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		F	REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		REIVIOVE	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Acacia sp.			
Common Name Wattle		Wattle			
LOCATION					
E: 211993.018			N: 6072	259.167	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)	7
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	2
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IEIN	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	79
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST	PEMOVE		REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		. KLIVIO VE	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Acacia sp.			
Common Name Wattle					
LOCATION					
E: 212008.184			N: 6072	59.979	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	2
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	2			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					П	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	80
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			F	RETAIN
Landscape Architect	Landscape SPACELAB			,		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 211995.200 N: 607266.957						
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)		6
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	7 No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				i		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	:55IV	<u>IFIN</u>	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	81
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN	
GENERAL TRI	E D	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 211999.106			N: 6072	67.245	
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)	12
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					N	l
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	82
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	NT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEI//III		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 211999.309	E: 211999.309 N: 607270.866					
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	)	6
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		<b>(S</b>	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I					I
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	83
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name	Radiata pine				
LOCATION					
E: 211998.717	E: 211998.717 N: 607274.756			6	
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	84
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- KEIAIN		(217111)
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212002.559			N: 6072	75.0	25	
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)		6
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	85
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Έ	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	T	M
_	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
<b>Common Name</b>	n Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 212006.747			N: 6072	75.1	.63	
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)		6
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					


# TRFF ASSESSMENT



11	IKEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	86	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
А	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 212006.946	E: 212006.946 N: 607267.495			5		
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)	5	
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI						
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	87	
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	KLIVIO		EIVIOVE		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 212010.877			N: 6072	75.5	37		
Height (M)	5		Canopy	(M)		2	
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of Trunks		S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	1			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	88
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESSI	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSI	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 212014.796	E: 212014.796 N: 607275.584				
Height (M)	17		Canopy	(M)	8
Trunk Circum	1.8	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	89	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Д	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 212021.598 N: 607275.886			5			
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	8	
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	E 422E	:2211	IFIN	I	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	90	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			INCIVIO V L	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212021.421			N: 6072	72.05	5	
Height (M)	8	Canopy (M)		3		
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	1			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	91
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Τ	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	ACELAB			(E17(114
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212021.891 N: 607268.403				03		
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)			5
Trunk Circum	1.5	7 No of Trunks		S	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	ΚĿ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	92
		REG	GULATED	TREE	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
,	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	
l	URB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			
Landscape SPACELAB					
<b>GENERAL TRI</b>	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species DEAD					
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 212025.859			N: 6072	268.753	3
Height (M)	12	Canopy (M)		4	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density					
Canopy Dead Wood					
Insect Attack					
Disease					
Epicormic Growth					
Mistletoe					
Form					
Age					
Tolerance to Disturbance					
Risk Potential					
Health / Condition					

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape					
Visual/ Scenic					
Unique Species					
Habitat Quality					
Habitat Value					
Cultural Value					
Social Value					
Scientific Value					
Remnant Species					
Landscape Tree Group	_				



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IFIN		
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	93	
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST	ST DE		EMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		12171072		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 212025.340 N: 607275.947			1			
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	4	
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	1			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IEI	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	94
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAI		(217111)
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212029.351			N: 6072	76.1	77	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)			6
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V	I E I	1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	•	95
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Г	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Г	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	-		'			(E17(114
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212029.973			N: 6072	68.97	74	
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)			5
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		5	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	<u> </u>	E 422E	<u>:2217</u>	<u>ובוי</u>	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	96
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN.	Т	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DEMOVE		EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		THE WILLIAM		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	te 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 212033.997			N: 6072	268.8	41	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		4	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	4 No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	1				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	1				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	1				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> </u>	<u>E ASSE</u>	<u>.                                    </u>		<u> </u>
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	97
		REC	GULATED	TREE	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	EC	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species DEAD					
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 212032.698			N: 6072	272.743	
Height (M)	4		Canopy	(M)	2
Trunk Circum 0.63 No of Trunks					
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density					
Canopy Dead Wood					
Insect Attack					
Disease					
Epicormic Growth					
Mistletoe					
Form					
Age					
Tolerance to Disturbance					
Risk Potential					
Health / Condition					

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape				
Visual/ Scenic				
Unique Species				
Habitat Quality				
Habitat Value				
Cultural Value				
Social Value				
Scientific Value				
Remnant Species				
Landscape Tree Group				



	1	E 433E	<u>.                                    </u>	$ \Gamma $	l .
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	98
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 212033.168			N: 6072	76.542	2
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	7
Trunk Circum	1.8	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	99	
		REC	GULATED	TREE		
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
P	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT		
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT		
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST				
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E L	DATA				
Assessment Dat	9/27/2018					
Species		DEAD				
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 212036.926			N: 6072	76.868	3	
Height (M)	11	Canopy (M)		2		
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks				
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density				
Canopy Dead Wood				
Insect Attack				
Disease				
Epicormic Growth				
Mistletoe				
Form				
Age				
Tolerance to Disturbance				
Risk Potential				
Health / Condition				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape				
Visual/ Scenic				
Unique Species				
Habitat Quality				
Habitat Value				
Cultural Value				
Social Value				
Scientific Value				
Remnant Species				
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<u> </u>	C 4220	<u>:2217</u>	$ \Box $	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	100
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KE I7 (II)	
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA				
Assessment Date		9/27/2018			
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Ra		Radiata pin	Radiata pine		
LOCATION	LOCATION				
E: 212036.257			N: 6072	72.67	0
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	1.5	1.57 No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TI	<u>₹E</u>	<u>E ASSE</u>	:SSIV	<u>IEN</u>	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	101
		REC	GULATED	TREE	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	ΜE	NT			
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	
ı	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA			
Assessment Dat	9/27/2018				
Species		DEAD			
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 212040.622			N: 6072	76.587	<u>'</u>
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks			
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density				
Canopy Dead Wood				
Insect Attack				
Disease				
Epicormic Growth				
Mistletoe				
Form				
Age				
Tolerance to Disturbance				
Risk Potential				
Health / Condition				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape				
Visual/ Scenic				
Unique Species				
Habitat Quality				
Habitat Value				
Cultural Value				
Social Value				
Scientific Value				
Remnant Species				
Landscape Tree Group				



IKEE ASSESSIVIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	102
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	T	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•					
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Dat	sessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 212043.065			N: 6072	73.1	25	
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)			7
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE ASSESSIVIENT					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	103
		REC	GULATED	TREE	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		DEAD			
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 212042.465			N: 6072	269.390	
Height (M)	14		Canopy	′ (M)	8
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS						
RATING						
Canopy Density						
Canopy Dead Wood						
Insect Attack						
Disease						
Epicormic Growth						
Mistletoe						
Form						
Age						
Tolerance to Disturbance						
Risk Potential						
Health / Condition						

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape					
Visual/ Scenic					
Unique Species					
Habitat Quality					
Habitat Value					
Cultural Value					
Social Value					
Scientific Value					
Remnant Species					
Landscape Tree Group	_				



	TREE ASSESSIMENT					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	104
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Д	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	<b>essment Date</b> 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name		Radiata pine				
LOCATION						
E: 212046.272			N: 6072	269.4	62	
Height (M)	17		Canopy (M)			8
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	I KEE HOOEOOIMEINI						
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP		105	
		REC	GULATED	TREE		N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT		P	
Ī	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MENT		L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		D		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA					
Assessment Dat	sment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Acacia sp.					
Common Name		Wattle					
LOCATION							
E: 212045.823			N: 6072	60.61	8		
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)			6	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks			2	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IEľ	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	106
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	Р
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	e	9/27/2018				
Species		Acacia sp.				
Common Name		Wattle				
LOCATION						
E: 212037.931			N: 6072	60.7	07	
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)			6
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		s	2
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IEIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	107
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, TEIVIOVE	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Acacia sp.			
Common Name		Wattle			
LOCATION					
E: 212031.249			N: 6072	60.299	
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M)		6
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks			2
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JΡ	108
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•					
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus bicolor				
Common Name		Swamp white oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211958.505			N: 6072	40.1	L63	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)		6	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I						l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	109
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEI	TV	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEI	TV	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		AB		,	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	ssessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 211962.867			N: 6072	40.	802	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		ks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IREE ASSESSIVIEN I					1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	110
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
<b>Common Name</b>	mon Name English oak				
LOCATION					
E: 211968.377 N: 607240.883					3
Height (M)	12	Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	111
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	- KETAII		
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus bicolor			
Common Name	me Swamp white oak				
LOCATION					
E: 211973.679	E: 211973.679 N: 607241.167				
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)		6
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSIVIENT					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	112
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape		SDACELAD		,	
Architect SPACELAB					
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name	e English oak				
LOCATION					
E: 211978.092 N: 607241.378				8	
Height (M)	7	Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<u> </u>	L AJJL	<u>. 331V</u>		<u> </u>	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	JΡ	113
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N
		REG	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAL	ASSESS	MEI	NT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEI	NT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Celtis australis				
Common Name Southern nettle tree						
LOCATION						
E: 211984.182 N: 607241.616						
Height (M)	8		Canopy	(M	)	7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	run	ks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						
0						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IFN	1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	114
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	_		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	' I SPACELAR					
GENERAL TRI	EC	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211992.095	E: 211992.095 N: 607241.924					
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M) 10		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IFI	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	115
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect						
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa				
Common Name English ash						
LOCATION						
E: 212008.511			N: 6072	42.7	38	
Height (M)	8		Canopy	(M)		7
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7 [	C 4220	:331V		17		
SUMMARY	SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	UP	116	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	ME	NT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	ME	NT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	М		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, KLIVIOVE			
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA						
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Celtis australis					
Common Name							
LOCATION							
E: 212012.185 N: 607242.599							
Height (M)	9		Canopy (M) 6		6		
Trunk Circum	nk Circum 0.63 No of Trunks		ks	1			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IEIN	I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	117	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST	_	RETAIN		
Landscape Architect	ndscape SPACELAR					
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212015.894			N: 6072	42.817		
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	16	
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217		1	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	118
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	ΞE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	essment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212019.494 N: 607243.020						
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)			12
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				2		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	۲Ŀ	E A55E	:2217	ゖゖヽ		
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	,	119
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Γ	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KETAIN		XE I7 (IIV
GENERAL TRE	EC	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 212023.111			N: 6072	43.14	18	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		14	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I LEE HOOEDOINIEIN					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	120
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Dat	sment Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212026.747 N: 607243.087			<u>'</u>		
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		14
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	121	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
Α	RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect	· I SPACELAR I					
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212030.177 N: 607243.363			3			
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSMENT						
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	122
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			AL I7 AII V	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name English oak						
LOCATION						
E: 212033.984			N: 6072	43.5	40	
Height (M)	17		Canopy (M)			14
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		s	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I LEE HOOEDOINIEIN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	123	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
Α	RBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
<b>Common Name</b>		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212037.636			N: 6072	43.71	4	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		14	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	E HOOE	:331V		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	IP	124
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212041.288			N: 6072	43.7	21	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)			7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	7	E HOOE	:331V		A	I
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	125
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST				RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212044.994			N: 6072	43.9	34	
Height (M)	13		Canopy (M)		)	10
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



1.1	7	E HOOE	:331V		1	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	126
		REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RFTΔIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212048.777 N: 607244.032						
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)			9
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				2		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEIN I					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	127
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	- KETAIN		XL I7 (IIV	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name	mmon Name English oak					
LOCATION						
E: 212052.386			N: 6072	44.13	1	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)			14
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	128
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		TALL I TALL	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Dat	essment Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212056.193 N: 607244.408			3		
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		14
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	129
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur			
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212059.630 N: 607244.479			9		
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V	IFIN	I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	130	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
<b>Common Name</b>		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212063.507 N: 607244.587				7		
Height (M)	17		Canopy	(M)	15	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IEIN	I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	131	
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
Δ	RBO	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212066.717 N: 607244.768						
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		8	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAG	TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



AR	RB/	RECONT  DRCULTURAL  AN AMENITY	GULATED GISTERED	TR	EE	132 Y N					
AR UF RECOMMENDATION Arborist	RB/	REC NT DRCULTURAI	GULATED GISTERED	TR	EE	Y					
AR UF RECOMMENDATION Arborist	RB/	RECONT  DRCULTURAL  AN AMENITY	SISTERED	TR		-					
AR UF RECOMMENDATION Arborist	RB/	NT DRCULTURAI	ASSESSI		EE	N					
AR UF RECOMMENDATION Arborist	RB/	RCULTURAI		N 4 E B							
RECOMMENDATION Arborist	RB/	AN AMENITY		A E B		TREE ASSESSMENT					
RECOMMENDATION Arborist				VIE	TV	М					
Arborist	N	NARA	ASSESSI	MEI	TV	М					
		NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE					
Landscape		ST		RFTΔIN		RETAIN					
Architect		SPACELAB									
GENERAL TREE DATA											
Assessment Date 9/27/2018											
Species		Quercus robur									
Common Name English oak											
LOCATION											
E: 212074.318 N: 607245.322											
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		15						
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runl	ks	1					
TREE MANAGEMENT											
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				2							
NOTES											

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFI		
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP		133
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND E / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		ETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Quercus robur				
Common Name		English oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212077.951 N: 607245.197						
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)			8
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAG	TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES	NOTES					
					_	

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	134
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Quercus robur				
Common Name English oak					
LOCATION					
E: 212081.386 N: 607245.383				}	
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	1.2	6 No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	135
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Quercus robur					
Common Name	English oak				
LOCATION					
E: 212085.475 N: 607245.547				7	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 14		14
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	E 422E	:2217		I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	136
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		112171114	
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Quercus robur					
Common Name		English oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212089.102 N: 607245.712					
Height (M)	15		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V	IFIN	I
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	137
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Casuarina cunninghamiana				
Common Name River oak					
LOCATION					
E: 211973.353	E: 211973.353 N: 607284.616				
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.8	89 <b>No of Trunks</b>		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	ΙĿΙ	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	138
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RFTΔIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect			SPACELAB			
<b>GENERAL TRI</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana				
Common Name River oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211975.473			N: 6072	289.9	971	
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)	)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					V	l
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	139
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Е	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NETAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana				
Common Name River oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211977.204	E: 211977.204 N: 607293.288					
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	140
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	DRCULTURA	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana			1
Common Name River oak					
LOCATION					
E: 211979.279 N: 607296.940					)
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)	8
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEIVI						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	141
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN.	T	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN'	Т	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETΔINI		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana				
Common Name River oak						
LOCATION						
E: 211984.081	E: 211984.081 N: 607305.790					
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)		8
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> ۲۲</u>	F 422F	:331V	<u>IEIN</u>	<u> </u>
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	142
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
A	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	NETAIN		
GENERAL TRI	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Casuarina cunninghamiana			a		
Common Name	River oak				
LOCATION					
E: 211985.529			N: 6073	08.64	8
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	7 No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	ILI	1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	143
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Casuarina cunninghamiana			ana			
Common Name	River oak					
LOCATION						
E: 211989.111			N: 6073	15.6	520	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



IKEE ASSESSIVIENI					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	144
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, ILIAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Populus nigra 'Italica'			
Common Name Lombardy poplar					
LOCATION					
E: 211993.595			N: 6073	19.697	
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M) 9		9
Trunk Circum	2.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<u> </u>	E ASSE	<u>.                                    </u>		<u> </u>
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	145
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana			
Common Name River oak					
LOCATION					
E: 211998.731			N: 6073	332.773	
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M) 8		8
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	1	C 4220	<u>. 331V</u>		I	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	146	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSMENT						
A	M					
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist	st ST RET		RETAIN			
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species Casuarina		cunningh	amian	a		
Common Name		River oak				
LOCATION						
E: 212001.098 N: 607337.400				0		
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	14	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	GULATED GISTERED	TREE	147 Y N		
REG NT DRCULTURAI	GULATED GISTERED	TREE	Υ		
REC NT DRCULTURAL	SISTERED		•		
NT DRCULTURAI		TREE	N		
DRCULTURAI	LASSESSI				
	LASSESSI				
AN AMENITY	- / 100 - 00	MENT	M		
	/ ASSESS	MENT	M		
NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE		
ST			RETAIN		
SPACELAB		- NETAIN			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
9/27/2018					
Casuarina d	Casuarina cunninghamiana				
River oak					
LOCATION					
E: 212003.005 N: 607341.525					
	Canopy	(M)	12		
No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					
	SPACELAB  9/27/2018  Casuarina of River oak  77  ENT	SPACELAB  9/27/2018  Casuarina cunningh River oak  N: 6073  Canopy  7  No of T	SPACELAB  9/27/2018  Casuarina cunninghamiana River oak  N: 607341.525  Canopy (M)  7  No of Trunks  ENT  JCE RISK  2		

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEIN					I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	148
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSMENT					
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27		9/27/2018			
Species Casuarina		cunningh	amiana		
Common Name Ri		River oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212004.068 N: 607343.255					
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSIVIENT					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	149
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		TILE I7 III V	
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/201		9/27/2018			
Species	Casuarina cunninghamia			amiana	9
Common Name River oak					
LOCATION					
E: 212004.676 N: 607344.454					
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	12
Trunk Circum	1.8	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSIVIENT					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	149
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		. INCIAIN	
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Dat	ssessment Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Casuarina cunninghamiana			9
Common Name		River oak			
LOCATION					
E: 212004.676 N: 607344.454					1
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M)		12
Trunk Circum	1.8	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	150
	REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
	REG	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
MEI	NT				
RBC	ORCULTURAL	ASSESS	MEN	T	M
JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
	ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect			ILLIAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Casuarina cunninghamiana			ına		
Common Name River of					
LOCATION					
E: 212008.163 N: 607351.346				46	
14		Canopy	(M)		14
2.2	20	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					
	RBC JRBA DN EE D e e 14 2.2 EEV	RECOMENT  RBORCULTURAL  JRBAN AMENITY  ON NAM  ST  SPACELAB  E DATA  e 9/27/2018 Casuarina of River oak  14  2.20  EMENT  REDUCE RISK	REGISTERED WENT RBORCULTURAL ASSESS URBAN AMENITY ASSESS ON NAME  ST  SPACELAB  EE DATA  ee 9/27/2018 Casuarina cunningh River oak  N: 6073 14 Canopy  2.20 No of T  REDUCE RISK	REGISTERED TRE MENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMEN  DRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMEN  NAME  ST  SPACELAB  E DATA  e 9/27/2018  Casuarina cunninghamia River oak  N: 607351.3  14  Canopy (M)  2.20  No of Trunk  EEMENT  REDUCE RISK	RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT  ON NAME RET MANAGE  ST  SPACELAB  EE DATA  ee 9/27/2018  Casuarina cunninghamiana River oak  N: 607351.346  14 Canopy (M)  2.20 No of Trunks  EEMENT  REDUCE RISK 2

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



RECONT ORCULTURAL	GULATED GISTERED	TREE	151 Y N		
REC NT ORCULTURAL AN AMENITY	GULATED GISTERED	TREE	Υ		
RECONT ORCULTURAL	SISTERED	TREE	-		
NT ORCULTURAL SAN AMENITY	L ASSESSI		N		
ORCULTURAI		MENIT			
AN AMENIT		MENIT			
		VIEIVI	M		
	ASSESS	MENT	M		
NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE		
ST		RE			
SPACELAB		ILLIAIN			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Eucalyptus mannifera					
Common Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212013.776 N: 607352.614					
)	Canopy	(M)	10		
57	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					
	SPACELAB  DATA  9/27/2018  Eucalyptus  White britt  57  MENT  UCE RISK	SPACELAB  DATA  9/27/2018  Eucalyptus mannife  White brittle gum  N: 6073  Canopy  57  No of T  MENT  UCE RISK	SPACELAB  DATA  9/27/2018  Eucalyptus mannifera  White brittle gum  N: 607352.614  Canopy (M)  TO No of Trunks  MENT  UCE RISK  2		

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	2				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	<u> </u>	E ASSE	<u>.331V</u>	ILIV	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	152	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		RETAIN AND ANAGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NEIAIN		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle			le gum			
LOCATION						
E: 212024.383 N: 607347.868			347.868			
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)		18	
Trunk Circum	2.8	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<u> </u>	<u>E ASSE</u>	<u>:2217</u>	<u>IEIN</u>	<u> </u>
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	153
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		. INDIVIDUE	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name	White brittle gum				
LOCATION					
E: 212023.715			N: 6073	50.383	3
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94 <b>No of Trunks</b>		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



ΙΓ	7	E HOOE	.331V		A	I
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	IP	154
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Έ	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E L	DATA				
Assessment Dat	е	9/27/2018				
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION						
E: 212024.241			N: 6073	44.0	11	
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)		15
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:001V		V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	155
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	1T	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Dat	ate 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION						
E: 212024.269			N: 6073	40.5	516	
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)		)	8
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	6 No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1				1		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						l
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	156
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
А	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	NT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NETAIN		((E17(11 <b>4</b>
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	sessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION						
E: 212016.005			N: 6073	35.2	204	
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	)	14
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		<b>(S</b>	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	F 422F	:2217	ニニリ	П	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	•	157
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	-		AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEIGHN		
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	e	9/27/2018				
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION						
E: 212016.000			N: 6073	31.58	9	
Height (M)	15		Canopy	Canopy (M) 14		14
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of Trunks 1		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				<u> </u>		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<b>L</b>	F 422F	:221V		IA	I
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	RO	UP	158
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Д	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	ME	NT	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	ME	NT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	М		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Eucalyptus mannifera					
Common Name White brittle gum						
LOCATION						
E: 212019.657			N: 6073	324.	287	
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M) 14		14	
Trunk Circum	Trunk Circum 1.57 No of Trunks		ks	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I VEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	159
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212021.234			N: 6073	20.991	L
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	E 422E	:2217		1	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	160
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
A	RBO	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙΤ	Н
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle gum						
LOCATION						
E: 212024.231			N: 6073	18.9	939	
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)		14
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					<u> </u>	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	F 422F	:221V	יוםו	N I	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	161
		REG	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	-		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		RETAIN		XE I7 W
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle gum						
LOCATION						
E: 212020.475			N: 6073	15.29	99	
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)		12
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	5	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1 E	E 422E	:221V	ובוי	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	162
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Е	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN.	Т	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	- I SPACELAR					(E17(114
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle gum						
LOCATION	LOCATION					
E: 212019.446			N: 6073	11.4	24	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M) 10		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	<u> </u>	L AJJL	.5510		1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	163
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEWIO VE	
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Dat	sessment Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212016.284			N: 6073	16.64	8
Height (M)	9		Canopy (M) 6		6
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1		C 4220	<u>:2217</u>		1	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	164
		REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN.		
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Dat	nt Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus melliodora				
Common Name Yellow box						
LOCATION						
E: 212011.964			N: 6073	14.9	905	
Height (M)	8		Canopy	(M)	)	6
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					A.	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	165
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIAIN		(E17(114
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus melliodora				
Common Name	n Name Yellow box					
LOCATION						
E: 212007.527			N: 6073	20.7	68	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)			8
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IEN	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	166
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Д	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- KLIAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
<b>Assessment Dat</b>	:e	e 9/27/2018			
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name		White brittle gum			
LOCATION					
E: 212007.198			N: 6073	19.190	)
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M) 8		8
Trunk Circum	1.2	6 No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	<u>٦</u>	E 433E	:221 <u>V</u>		ı
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	167
		REG	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
A	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEIVIOVE	
GENERAL TRI	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus melliodora			
Common Name	mmon Name Yellow box				
LOCATION					
E: 212006.700			N: 6073	14.974	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)	4
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	2			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1		E A55E	:2211		N	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	168
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΝT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΝT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIWOVE		
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus melliodora				
Common Name Yellow box						
LOCATION						
E: 212003.227			N: 6073	12.4	461	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)	)	4
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I VEE HOOEDOINIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	169	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST	D		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect	-		В		(LIVIOVE	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION						
E: 211991.834			N: 6072	92.26	5	
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M)		6	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	2				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	170
	REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N
	REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
MEI	NT				
RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	М
JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEI	TV	М
ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
	ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
	SPACELAB				
EC	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
	Eucalyptus mannifera				
mmon Name White brittle gum					
		N: 6072	289.	807	
10		Canopy (M)		)	10
1.2	26	No of Trunks		ks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					
	RBC JRB, DN EE D 1.2	RECOMENT  RBORCULTURAL  RBORCULTURAL  RBORCULTURAL  RBORCULTURAL  REDAN AMENITY  ST  SPACELAB  E DATA  E 9/27/2018  E ucalyptus  White britt  10  1.26  EMENT  EEDUCE RISK	REGISTERED WENT RBORCULTURAL ASSESS DIN NAME  ST  SPACELAB  E DATA  e 9/27/2018 Eucalyptus mannife White brittle gum  N: 6072 10 Canopy  1.26 No of T  EMENT REDUCE RISK	REGISTERED TRI MENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT  NAME  MA  ST  SPACELAB  E DATA  B 9/27/2018  E ucalyptus mannifera  White brittle gum  N: 607289.3  10  Canopy (M  1.26  No of Truni  REMENT  REDUCE RISK	RBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT ON NAME RET MANAGE ST SPACELAB  E 9/27/2018 Eucalyptus mannifera White brittle gum  N: 607289.807 10 Canopy (M)  1.26 No of Trunks EMENT EEDUCE RISK 2

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ADDEDDINIEIN					П	
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	171
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RET A		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus nicholii				
Common Name		White-leaved peppermint			:	
LOCATION						
E: 212005.659	N: 607295.376			6		
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M)			10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	3				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	ᄔ	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JP	172
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
Ī	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		- NETAIN		AL I7 W
GENERAL TRE	EC	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name White brittle gum						
LOCATION						
E: 212009.684			N: 6072	95.4	152	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	70	E 433E	:221 <u>v</u>	$ \Box $	<u> </u>
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	173
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEIGHN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Dat	ssment Date 9/27/2018				
Species		Eucalyptus nicholii			
Common Name		White-leaved peppermint			
LOCATION					
E: 212016.776			N: 6072	295.836	
Height (M)	10		<b>Canopy (M)</b> 10		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				·	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217	$ \Box $		
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	174
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
Α	RBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	М
ι	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND E / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	ETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			.,	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus nicholii				
Common Name White-leaved peppermint			:			
LOCATION						
E: 212021.215			N: 6072	95.90	8	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)			12
Trunk Circum	1.5	1.57 No of Trunks			1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<u> </u>	E 433E	:221 <u>v</u>	$ \Box $	l .
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	175
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NEIGHN	
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212031.026			N: 6073	300.738	3
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M) 12		12
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IEIN	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	176
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	P
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST	REMOVE		REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212035.366			N: 6073	00.706	
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)		5
Trunk Circum	0.6	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	2			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					I	I
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	177
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TR	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEI	TV	М
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	' ASSESS	MEI	TV	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	NE FAII			
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name		White brittle gum				
LOCATION	LOCATION					
E: 212043.948	E: 212043.948 N: 607311.049					
Height (M)	13		Canopy (M)		12	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of Trunks		ks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				2		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1	1	L HOOL	-991V		1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	178	
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р	
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		F	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Eucalyptus mannifera				
Common Name	non Name White brittle gum					
LOCATION						
E: 212049.347			N: 6073	04.177	1	
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.2	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	2			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



		:2211		W I	•		
	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	179		
	REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ		
	REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N		
MEI	NT						
ARBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN	T	М		
JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	NT	М		
ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE		
	ST		PETAIN		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect							
EE C	ATA						
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
	Pinus radiata						
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 212050.084 N: 607273.635							
15		Canopy (M)		9			
1.5	No of Trunks		<b>(S</b>	1			
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				3			
			NOTES				
	ON 15 1.5 EE C	MENT ARBORCULTURAL URBAN AMENITY ON NAM ST SPACELAB EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 Pinus radia Radiata pin  15 1.57 GEMENT REDUCE RISK	REGULATED REGISTERED MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESS URBAN AMENITY ASSESS ON NAME  ST SPACELAB SEE DATA SEE 9/27/2018 Pinus radiata Radiata pine  N: 6072 15 Canopy  1.57 No of T  GEMENT REDUCE RISK	REGULATED TRI REGISTERED TRI MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMEN URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMEN ON NAME ST SPACELAB EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 Pinus radiata Radiata pine  N: 607273.6  15 Canopy (M)  1.57 No of Truni GEMENT REDUCE RISK	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT ON NAME RET MANAGE ST SPACELAB  EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 Pinus radiata Radiata pine  N: 607273.635 Canopy (M)  1.57 No of Trunks  EEMENT REDUCE RISK 3		

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSIVIENT					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	180
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NE IZIIV	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Pinus radiata			
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 212049.940			N: 6072	69.726	
Height (M)	17		Canopy (M) 10		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
RATING				
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	<u> </u>	F 422F	<u>:551V</u>	<u>                                      </u>	<u> </u>	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	181
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB			(E17till)
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine			ie			
LOCATION						
E: 212057.522			N: 6072	70.2	285	
Height (M)	17		Canopy (M) 10		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	E	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	182
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Е	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212054.318			N: 6072	73.5	49	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M) 7		7	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						l
SUMMARY	SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	•	183
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Ī	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	Γ	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETA		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212055.352 N: 607277.545						
Height (M)	18		Canopy	(M)		12
Trunk Circum	2.8	33	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					}	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	<u> </u>	F 422F	:221V			
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	184
		REC	GULATED	TREE		Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	•	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, NEIAIN		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212059.196			N: 6072	77.91	.2	
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M) 6		6	
Trunk Circum	1.2	26 No of Trunks			1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE NUMBER/GROUP 185  REGULATED TREE Y  REGISTERED TREE N  TREE ASSESSMENT  ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT P  URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REN  Arborist ST  Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata  Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
REGULATED TREE Y REGISTERED TREE N  TREE ASSESSMENT  ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT P URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REM  Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
REGISTERED TREE N  TREE ASSESSMENT  ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT P URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REM  Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
TREE ASSESSMENT  ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT P URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REM Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018 Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020 Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT DURBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REM  Arborist ST REMOVE  Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata  Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L  RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REM  Arborist ST  Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata  Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANY MANAGE / REM  Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018 Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020 Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
Arborist ST REMOVE  Landscape Architect SPACELAB  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018 Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
Landscape Architect  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata  Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
Landscape Architect  GENERAL TREE DATA  Assessment Date 9/27/2018  Species Pinus radiata Common Name Radiata pine  LOCATION  E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6	:				
Assessment Date         9/27/2018           Species         Pinus radiata           Common Name         Radiata pine           LOCATION         N: 607274.020           Height (M)         12         Canopy (M)         6	•				
Species         Pinus radiata           Common Name         Radiata pine           LOCATION         N: 607274.020           E: 212057.924         N: 607274.020           Height (M)         12         Canopy (M)         6	GENERAL TREE DATA				
Common Name					
LOCATION  E: 212057.924  Height (M)  12  N: 607274.020  Canopy (M)  6					
E: 212057.924 N: 607274.020  Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6					
Height (M) 12 Canopy (M) 6	LOCATION				
Trunk Circum 0.94 No of Trunks 1					
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	1			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFI	V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	186
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
P	RBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Р
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	L
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist ST			REMOVE			
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB		_ KLIVIOVE			
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	:e	9/27/2018				
Species Pinus radiata						
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212061.054 N: 607270.592						
Height (M)	15		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.57 No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	1			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	1			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	1			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



THEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	187
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist	rborist ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 212064.760 N: 607270.549				9	
Height (M)	14	Canopy (M)		5	
Trunk Circum 1.26 No of Trunks			1		
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



THEE ASSESSIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	188
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist	ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine					
LOCATION					
E: 212063.008 N: 607277.897					
Height (M)	15	15 Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	ım 1.57 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES	NOTES				

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	RECENT BORCULTURAL	GULATED GISTERED L ASSESSI / ASSESSI	TREE	189 Y N
ARE URI RECOMMENDATION	RECENT  BORCULTURAL BAN AMENITY	GULATED GISTERED L ASSESSI / ASSESSI	TREE	Y N
ARE URI RECOMMENDATION	RECENT BORCULTURAL	L ASSESSI	MENT	N
ARE URI RECOMMENDATION	ENT BORCULTURAL BAN AMENITY	L ASSESS / ASSESS	MENT	M
ARE URI RECOMMENDATION	BORCULTURAI BAN AMENITY	ASSESS		
RECOMMENDATION	BAN AMENITY	ASSESS		
RECOMMENDATION			MENT	М
	NAM			
Arborist		E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
	ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB		, NETAIN	
GENERAL TREE DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018				
Species	Pinus radiata			
Common Name	mmon Name Radiata pine			
LOCATION				
E: 212066.772		N: 6072	77.930	
Height (M) 1	4	Canopy (M)		12
Trunk Circum 1	89	9 No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3			3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				
NOTES				

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	190
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	T	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB		NE 17 UIV	
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
Common Name Radiata pine						
LOCATION						
E: 212069.182			N: 6072	74.2	251	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		)	8
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		<b>(S</b>	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					3	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					3	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	<b>√</b> ∟	L AJJL	. 0010				
SUMMARY	SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	191	
		REC	GULATED	TREE		N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N	
TREE ASSESSMENT							
Α	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT					Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		PEMO		EMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			•••		
GENERAL TREE DATA							
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species		Pinus radiata					
Common Name Radiata pine							
LOCATION							
E: 212072.584			N: 6072	74.41	.7		
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M)			4	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks			1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3			;				
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	1				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	1				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	1				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



1.1		C 4220	:221 <u>v</u>		V	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	192
		REC	GULATED	TRI	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	TREE ASSESSMENT					
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	T	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB					
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Pinus radiata				
<b>Common Name</b>	ne Radiata pine					
LOCATION						
E: 212072.625			N: 6072	270.8	<b>312</b>	
Height (M)	15		Canopy (M)		)	8
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3				3		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3				3		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	1				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	/ [	L AJJL	. 3310		1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	193
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
J	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Dat	9/27/2018				
Species	Pinus radiata				
Common Name	Radiata pine				
LOCATION					
E: 212076.601			N: 6072	71.128	3
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	7
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	1			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



IKEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	194
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Eucalyptus	mannife	ra	
Common Name	ame White brittle gum				
LOCATION					
E: 212048.440			N: 6073	04.97	5
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	7
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	3			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



1.1	7 [	E HOOE	<u> </u>	$ \Box $	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	195
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURA	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Eucalyptus camaldulensis				
Common Name River red gum					
LOCATION					
E: 212018.415			N: 6073	61.97	8
Height (M)	14		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	1.5	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	2			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	<u> </u>	E ASSE	<u> </u>		l
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	196
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRI	EC	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name English ash					
LOCATION					
E: 212052.527			N: 6073	59.697	
Height (M)	8	Canopy (M)		7	
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group	_			



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	l	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	197	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р	
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		F	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		REIVIOVE		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa				
Common Name English ash						
LOCATION	LOCATION					
E: 212055.978			N: 6073	59.535		
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	7	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217	$ \Box $	1
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	198
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	P
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name English ash					
LOCATION					
E: 212060.478 N: 607359.351				1	
Height (M)	8		Canopy	(M)	7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group	_				



<b>۱</b> L	L MOOL	- <b>J</b> JIV		ı	
	TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	199	
	REC	GULATED	TREE		
	REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
ME	NT				
RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT		
JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT		
ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
	ST				
Landscape SPACELAB					
EC	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
	DEAD				
Common Name					
		N: 6073	59.501		
9		Canopy	(M)	7	
0.9	94	No of T	runks		
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY					
NOTES					
	MEI JRB ON SEE C	TREE NU REC REC MENT ARBORCULTURAL URBAN AMENITY ON NAM ST SPACELAB EE DATA EE 9/27/2018 DEAD  9 0.94 GEMENT REDUCE RISK	TREE NUMBER/G REGULATED REGISTERED MENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESS URBAN AMENITY ASSESS ON NAME ST SPACELAB EE DATA te 9/27/2018 DEAD  N: 6073 9 Canopy 0.94 No of T GEMENT REDUCE RISK	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT ON NAME REMANA ST SPACELAB EE DATA Lee 9/27/2018 DEAD  N: 607359.501 POR Canopy (M)  O.94 No of Trunks EEMENT REDUCE RISK	

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density				
Canopy Dead Wood				
Insect Attack				
Disease				
Epicormic Growth				
Mistletoe				
Form				
Age				
Tolerance to Disturbance				
Risk Potential				
Health / Condition				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape					
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape					
Visual/ Scenic					
Unique Species					
Habitat Quality					
Habitat Value					
Cultural Value					
Social Value					
Scientific Value					
Remnant Species					
Landscape Tree Group	_				



- 11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFI/		l	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	200	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	:	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT					
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	•	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	•	L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			EIVIOVE		
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species Fraxinus oxycarpa							
Common Name English ash							
LOCATION							
E: 212067.489			N: 6073	59.42	29		
Height (M)	9		Canopy	(M)		7	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1							
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	201
		REC	GULATED	TRE	Ε	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	Р
Ų	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species	Fraxinus oxycarpa					
Common Name	English ash					
LOCATION						
E: 212071.508			N: 6073	59.6	84	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)		6
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		s	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



	I VEE HOOESOIVIEIVI					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	202	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESSI	MENT	Р	
_	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESSI	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa				
<b>Common Name</b>	me English ash					
LOCATION						
E: 212075.405			N: 6073	60.042		
Height (M)	5		Canopy	(M)	5	
Trunk Circum	0.6	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group	_			



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217	C N	I
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	203
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT			
Α	RBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
l	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		F	REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		12111012	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name English ash					
LOCATION					
E: 212078.397			N: 6073	61.314	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
<b>Epicormic Growth</b>	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217	$ \Box $	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	204
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name		English ash			
LOCATION					
E: 212081.290 N: 607363.079				)	
Height (M)	9		Canopy	(M)	8
Trunk Circum	k Circum 1.26 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	<b>E H</b> 33E	:2217	$ \Box $	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	205
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			REMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
<b>Common Name</b>		English ash			
LOCATION					
E: 212094.685			N: 6073	65.14	0
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)		5
Trunk Circum	0.6	53	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	۲Ŀ	F 422F	:221V	ΙΕΙ	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	206
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•					
GENERAL TRE	EC	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212109.831			N: 6073	63.2	73	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M) 1		12	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	5	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IFIN	1
SUMMARY	SUMMARY				
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	207
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
А	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia			
Common Name		London plane			
LOCATION					
E: 212110.348 N: 607351.334			4		
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	2.5	51	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	4			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IFN	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	208
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Н
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	_		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RFTΔIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect						
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212110.820			N: 6073	39.5	20	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IEI	A	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	JΡ	209
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Ţ	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	IT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			ı	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	ment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name	nmon Name London plane					
LOCATION						
E: 212111.326			N: 6073	327.4	166	
Height (M)	11		Canopy	(M)	)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	1	F 422F	:2217		A	l
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	ΙP	210
		REC	GULATED	TRE	ΞE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	Ε	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	ΙΤ	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	IT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KLIAIN		(E17(114
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212111.850			N: 6073	15.6	518	
Height (M)	11		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runk	S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	211
		REC	GULATED	TRE	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	EE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Τ	Н
Į	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	ΙT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212112.369			N: 6073	303.8	302	
Height (M)	11		Canopy	(M)	)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		(S	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ADDEDDINIEIN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUF	,	212
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
А	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Ī	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Γ	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name	bommon Name London plane					
LOCATION						
E: 212121.054			N: 6073	04.15	55	
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)		10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	213
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
А	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia			
Common Name London plane					
LOCATION					
E: 212120.595 N: 607316.131			<u> </u>		
Height (M)	10		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	4			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E ASSE</b>	:55IV	IFIN	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	214
		REG	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Н
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia			
Common Name London plane					
LOCATION					
E: 212120.054 N: 607327.866			5		
Height (M)	11		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



TREE ASSESSIVIENT						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	215
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT		Р
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Γ	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	-		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Gleditsia triacanthos				
Common Name Honey locust						
LOCATION						
E: 212129.136	E: 212129.136 N: 607323.354					
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	2				
Canopy Dead Wood	2				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group	_			



	<u>₹</u>	<u>E ASSE</u>	: <u>551V</u>	<u>IFI</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUI	Р	216
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MEN.	Т	Р
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN.	Т	L
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	ssessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species	Gleditsia triacanthos					
Common Name Honey locust						
LOCATION						
E: 212128.783			N: 6073	35.9	83	
Height (M)	6		Canopy	(M)		6
Trunk Circum	Circum 0.63 No of Trunks		1			
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE HOOEOOIMEIN I						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	217
		REC	GULATED	TREE	:	Υ
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	:	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	DRCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	•	Н
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	•	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND SE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RFTΔIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name		London plane				
LOCATION						
E: 212119.617	E: 212119.617 N: 607339.821			21		
Height (M)	11		Canopy (M)			10
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks			1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2				<u> </u>		
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	F 422F	:2217	IEIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	218
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT			
А	RBO	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Н
ι	JRB.	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus orientalis			
Common Name		Oriental plane			
LOCATION					
E: 212119.071			N: 6073	51.682	
Height (M)	14		Canopy (M)		16
Trunk Circum	2.5	51	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲Ŀ	<b>E</b> A55E	:55IV	IFIN	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	219
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT			
P	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	Н
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		METAIN	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Platanus acerifolia			
Common Name	mmon Name London plane				
LOCATION					
E: 212118.776			N: 6073	63.473	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		12
Trunk Circum	1.8	39	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



- 11	I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	220
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	Н
ı	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	Н
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		DETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect	•		- INET			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212118.075			N: 6073	75.5	81	
Height (M)	10		Canopy (M)			12
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2				2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					2	
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	4			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3			
Visual/ Scenic	3			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	3			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN					I	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	221	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	Υ	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	L ASSESS	MENT	Н	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	Н	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape SPACELAB						
GENERAL TRE	E C	DATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 212117.613 N: 607387.332					2	
Height (M)	12		Canopy	(M)	10	
Trunk Circum	1.5	57	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



TREE ASSESSIMENT						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	222	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NETAIN		
GENERAL TRE	GENERAL TREE DATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species						
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 212101.633			N: 6073	87.832	2	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	5	
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲Ł	<b>E</b> A55E	:551V	IEľ	V	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	223
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT				
Δ	RBC	DRCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	Н
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MEN	Т	Н
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				,
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Platanus acerifolia				
Common Name London plane						
LOCATION						
E: 212108.909			N: 6073	86.9	57	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		14	
Trunk Circum	2.2	20	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	3				
Risk Potential	3				
Health / Condition	4				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



I KEE ASSESSIVIEN						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROU	Р	224
		REC	GULATED	TRE	E	N
		REG	SISTERED	TRE	E	N
TREE ASSESSI	ME	NT				
A	RBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
ı	JRB.	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	Т	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E			AIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			-	RETAIN
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB	RETAIN		XE I7 (IIV	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Deciduous sp.				
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 212101.753			N: 6073	84.7	85	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)		7
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runk	s	1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					2	·
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
RATING					
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



				<u>IFIN</u>	
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	225
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REG	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
А	RBC	DRCULTURAL	L ASSESS	MENT	M
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		. RETAIN	
<b>GENERAL TRE</b>	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Deciduous sp.			
Common Name					
LOCATION					
E: 212097.889			N: 6073	83.976	j
Height (M)	7	Canopy (M)		7	
Trunk Circum	0.9	0.94 <b>No of Trunks</b>		1	
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	2				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:221V	IFIN	1	
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	226	
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N	
TREE ASSESS	MEI	NT				
A	ARBO	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	M	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RETAIN		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		. INETAIN		
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date 9/27/2018						
Species		Deciduous sp.				
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 212090.121			N: 6073	84.180	)	
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	6	
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



1.1	7 [	E 433E	:331V		N	l	
SUMMARY							
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROL	JP	227	
		REC	GULATED	TR	EE	N	
		REC	SISTERED	TRI	EE	N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT					
Δ	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MEN	T	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MEN	T	L	
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			R	EMOVE	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB					
GENERAL TRE	EC	ATA					
Assessment Date 9/27/2018							
Species	Celtis australis						
Common Name Southern nettle tree							
LOCATION							
E: 212082.090 N: 607384.569							
Height (M)	8		Canopy (M) 5		5		
Trunk Circum	0.9	No of Trunks		ks	1		
TREE MANAGEMENT							
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1				1			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1							
NOTES							
0							

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1				
Visual/ Scenic	1				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	1				
Habitat Value	2				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	228
		REC	GULATED	TREE	N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	N
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
Α	RBC	ORCULTURAI	ASSESS	MENT	М
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9/27/2018					
Species		Fraxinus oxycarpa			
Common Name		English ash			
LOCATION					
E: 212081.619 N: 607376.587			<u>'</u>		
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	10
Trunk Circum	1.2	26	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Canopy Density	3				
Canopy Dead Wood	3				
Insect Attack	3				
Disease	3				
Epicormic Growth	3				
Mistletoe	3				
Form	4				
Age	2				
Tolerance to Disturbance	2				
Risk Potential	2				
Health / Condition	2				

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



IKEE ASSESSIVIENI						
SUMMARY						
		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	,	229
		REC	GULATED	TREE		N
		REC	SISTERED	TREE		N
TREE ASSESSMENT						
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT				•	Р	
l	JRB	AN AMENITY	ASSESS	MENT	•	L
RECOMMENDATION		NAME		RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE		
Arborist		ST		REMOVE		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		ILLIVIOVE		
GENERAL TREE DATA						
Assessment Dat	9/27/2018	9/27/2018				
Species Ulmus pa		Ulmus parv	rvifolia			
Common Name		Chinese elm				
LOCATION						
E: 212076.870			N: 607384.516			
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)		5
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of T	runks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	2			
Canopy Dead Wood	2			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1			
Visual/ Scenic	1			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	1			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				



11	۲E	E A55E	:2217	IFIN	I
SUMMARY					
TREE NUMBER/GROUP			230		
REGULATED TREE				N	
REGISTERED TREE				N	
TREE ASSESSI	MEI	NT			
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT				M	
ι	JRB	AN AMENITY	/ ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDATION	ON	NAM	E		TAIN AND .GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RETAIN	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA			
Assessment Date 9		9/27/2018			
Species		Ulmus parvifolia			
Common Name		Chinese elm			
LOCATION					
E: 212074.858			N: 607371.672		<u> </u>
Height (M)	7		Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	0.9	94	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAGEMENT					
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENIT			ITY	2	
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	3			
Disease	3			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	2			
Risk Potential	2			
Health / Condition	2			

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2			
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2			
Visual/ Scenic	2			
Unique Species	1			
Habitat Quality	2			
Habitat Value	2			
Cultural Value	1			
Social Value	1			
Scientific Value	1			
Remnant Species	1			
Landscape Tree Group				